District/Building Profile Iowa Professional Development Model

The purpose of this profile is to guide the district/building administrators, the Professional Development (PD) Leadership Team, and the PD provider in conducting an optional self-analysis of the effectiveness of their district/building professional development. By reviewing what is in place for PD at the district and building level, district leaders have an opportunity to make adjustments and add supports to ensure that the professional development provided to teachers results in improved instructional practices and increased student achievement. This rubric is offered as a tool to analyze and describe the status of PD plans, processes, and learning opportunities.

The rubric components, dimensions, and descriptors follow the Iowa Professional Development Model (IPDM) and requirements for the district career development plans. A description of the desired level of full implementation of effective practice is listed in the left column (Level 4). Four levels are offered to provide practitioners with descriptions that show progress from non-implementation on the right, with movement toward effective practices and procedures on the left. Ratings are offered to indicate the levels of attention that are needed to move from non-implementation to full implementation of the IPDM components.

To complete this rubric, read the definition of the component, and the four descriptors for each dimension, and then circle the number that most reflects the status of your building/district. If your situation is best described by statements in more than one level, you may also highlight or underline items that describe your status. Space is provided to add evidence that supports the ratings.

LEA:	
Building:	
Individual(s) Completing Rubric:	
Date:	

Key to ratings:

- 4= Fully implemented, ready to showcase and use as an example for others
- 3= Adjustments and some refinement may be needed
- 2= Additional attention and effort needed to fully develop this element
- 1= Intensive technical assistance needed

Component: Collecting and Analyzing Student Data

Identifying student need is the first step in designing professional development intended to improve student learning. Collecting and analyzing information about student performance in areas of interest enables a district and/or school to set priorities. If professional development is to impact student learning, it must precisely align with student need.

Dimension 1: Uses analysis of multiple sources of data.				
4	3	2	1	
Multiple sources of data are	Data are collected from multiple	Scores are provided for multiple	Only one source is provided with	
displayed in a way that facilitates	sources, but not analyzed and	sources. Little analysis and	little or no analysis.	
dialogue with staff. Written	displayed in a way that makes	interpretation are apparent.		
summary of findings about	data understandable to staff.			
student achievement with	Documentation includes a			
interpretation about patterns,	general summary of the findings			
trends, and implications has been	with interpretation about			
shared with faculty. Analysis	patterns, trends, and			
provides enough detail to lead to	implications. Analysis is general			
decisions about practice.	and of limited help in making			
_	decisions about practice.			

Dimension 2: Analysis of subgroup data.				
Analysis includes the general population as well as findings and implications for all subgroups represented in the district.	Analysis includes findings and implications for some, but not all subgroups represented in the district.	Analysis is reported in nonspecific terms so that conclusions about needs are difficult to make.	There is no analysis, only scores are provided.	

Dimension 3: Leadership Team and administrator(s) use and interpret data.				
4	3	2	1	
The PD Leadership Team has	The PD Leadership Team has	Data have been reported to staff	The staff has not been engaged in the use	
discussed the data and	worked with the data and	without opportunities to discuss	of data.	
implications. The PD	formed some conclusions. The	implications. Administrators		
Leadership Team has engaged	full faculty has not been	and the PD Leadership Team		
the faculty in dialogue about the	engaged in dialogue about	have not worked with faculty on		
data and implications. School	findings and implications.	studying data and discussing		
administrators have been fully	School administrator has	implications.		
engaged in sharing and	reported findings to others with			
discussing findings with others.	little discussion. Administrators			
Administrators actively model	do not routinely model the			
how to use and interpret data.	interpretation and use of data.			
Comments/Evidence:	-			

Component: Goal Setting for Professional Development

Clear statements of expectations regarding student learning allow schools and districts to focus professional development resources and energy on achievable goals. To meet the goals identified in the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan, the intent of professional development is to increase the learning of all students while attending to the learning needs of subgroups of students. If professional development content is to accomplish the desired increases in student learning, the goals for student learning must be explicit and concrete.

Dimension 4: Professional development target is focused on instruction.				
4	3	2	1	
PD Leadership Team has identified	Professional development target is	The target is about procedures	The target is exclusively about	
a professional development target	focused on practices that impact	rather than instruction. (Example:	process. (Example: team building,	
that is focused on improving	students, but the target does not	how to administer assessments.)	facilitating meetings.)	
student achievement in reading,	help teachers to improve academic	Target is on adult or systems		
math or science and provides skill	instruction.	variable rather than on student		
development in instruction.	(Example: PD addresses only an	learning and instruction.		
	affective/behavioral/social	(Example: culture building,		
	emotional goal.)	scheduling alternatives.)		

Dimension 5: Goals and PD target are aligned with data.			
Goals and PD target are aligned with data. There is tight coupling among the data, goals, and the target selected.	Goals are aligned with data, but the target is not related to the goal.	Neither the goals nor the target relate to the data. There is no coupling among data/goals/target.	There is no PD target.

Dimension 6: Target is specific and based on the AIG/MAO and district goals.				
4	3	2	1	
Target is based on the Annual Improvement Goal/Measurable Annual Objective and district goals but is narrower and more specific.	PD Target is broad. Lack of specificity makes it difficult to select the strategy/model that teachers need to learn to accomplish gains in student achievement.	PD target is narrow and limited to a single strategy which does not have enough complexity to accomplish significant gains in student achievement.	PD is a set of events without any target, lack of focus is evident.	

Provide evidence that the district/building has selected a target that is based on student data and district goals:

Dimension 7: There is a singular focus at the district/building for PD.

Dimension 7: There is a sing	Dimension 7: There is a singular focus at the district/building for PD.				
4	3	2	1		
There is a singular focus at the	There are 2-3 focus areas for PD	Priorities have not been	PD is a menu of training topic options.		
district/building for PD. Faculty	that the same faculty may need	established to narrow PD focus			
will be able to focus on one	to address simultaneously.	areas; more than 3 focus areas			
major area at a time. Multiple		are evident in the building.			
emphases across the district					
with variation at the building					
level may be necessary to					
address student needs					
established by data.					

Comments/Evidence:			
·			

Component: Selecting Content

Content selected for collective study by schools and districts must be supported by evidence that it can accomplish the goals set for student learning. A district should be confident that the content they choose to study has been found to improve student achievement. A process for selecting content will include: a review of research on curricular and instructional innovations with a history of success in the areas identified for student improvement; a review of current knowledge and practices in the district/school; alignment with the Iowa Teaching Standards; and documentation that the practices are supported by scientifically-based research.

Dimension 8: PD content selected addresses needs for full population of students.				
PD content selected addresses needs for the full population of students. Decisions about PD for age spans and populations may	3 Content is provided for some grade-spans but not others. (Example: PD only addresses K-3 in a K-6 building.)	PD that is appropriate for one age span is provided for all age groups which conflicts with the research. (Example: Phonemic	District/building plan is not explicit about how PD is addressing student needs. The relationship between what is being studied and student learning	
vary based on data. (Example: An elementary school may work on a district-wide target of reading comprehension by studying graphic organizers and think		awareness being applied with all students in upper grades.)	needs is not explicit.	
alouds. The high school may be focusing on using graphic organizers and writing.)				

Dimension 9: Teachers and leaders were engaged in the decision making about the program/model/strategy.				
The PD Leadership Team used a decision making process for selection of content, and appropriate criteria were used to judge the quality of research. Teachers were represented in the decision making about the appropriateness of the program/model/strategy.	The PD Leadership Team chose the content following a process, but without input from staff.	Content was chosen by central office and/or administration. Building Leadership Teams were not part of the process used to study the literature base and make decisions.	Criteria and process for selection are unclear.	

Dimension 10: Administrators are well informed about the program/model/strategy.				
Administrators are able to clearly articulate what is being studied by the faculty, why this program/model/strategy was selected, and what student outcomes will be accomplished. This information is communicated to the faculty and community.	Administrators know the strategy selected, but are unable to explain the rationale and research that justifies the selection of these practices for study in relation to local student data.	Administrators are unclear about why and how the program was selected, and communicate little about the nature of the content selected.	Administrators consider the selection of content and the need to be familiar with the rationale for selection as someone else's responsibility.	

Dimension 11: Content is well grounded in research base.			
4	3	2	1
Content is well grounded in research base; strategies are	Content is research related. Practices listed may be based on	A list of references is provided, but these sources have never been	Content was selected based on testimonial data or studies/ articles
supported with studies that meet definitions of scientifically based	research done on single strategies, but this combination of	reviewed to check the type of intervention, population, effect	without a research foundation. There is no apparent research base or
research. The Iowa Content Network or equivalent source	strategies has never been evaluated using an experimental	size, etc.	the studies are rated a level 2 or below on the Iowa Content Network.
was used to make sure the research is of high quality.	or quasi-experimental design.		
Practices selected are at least a			
level 3 on Content Network continuum.			

List the content (strategies, model, or program) and provide evidence that this content has a research base. (Example: a review of the research base
and/or site studies that are reviewed on Iowa Content Network):

Component: Design

The professional development process must ensure that teachers have adequate opportunities to learn and implement new curriculums, instructional strategies, and assessments. Teachers need to have sufficient workshop and workplace supports to develop a deep understanding of the theory of the strategy/model they are learning. The professional development design will build in time for teachers to learn together and to collaborate with each other. If teachers have opportunities to learn new content and implement it in their classrooms, the investment in professional development will pay off in increased student learning. *If professional development is based on powerful and proven content and implemented as designed, students will benefit.*

Dimension 12: Design includes theory, demonstration, practice, and collaboration.			
4	3	2	1
An action plan or building/district plan has been developed. The design for PD includes details about the workshop and workplace supports including: • Theory (including thorough knowledge of research and rationale for the strategy) • Demonstration • Practice • Collaboration	Plan references elements (theory, demonstration, practice, collaboration), but lacks description about how these will be provided.	There is a building and a district PD plan, but plans do not include any reference to theory, demonstration, practice or collaboration.	The district plan does not provide details about the design. There is no building plan.

Dimension 13: Adequate time for training is provided.			
4	3	2	1
Design describes how time will	Time is provided for training	Time is limited. Design limits	Design does not address finding time.
be made available for intensive	but the amount of time is not	teachers' opportunities to	
PD training. Adequate time for	adequate to fully support	experience theory,	
training is provided. Training is	teacher learning. Design limits	demonstration, practice, etc.	
distributed and occurs	teachers' opportunities to fully		
intermittently throughout the	participate in theory,		
school year.	demonstration, and practice		
	experiences needed for fidelity		
	of implementation.		

Dimension 14: Adequate time is provided for collaboration.			
4	3	2	1
Adequate time for	Time is provided, but meetings	Time planned for collaboration	There is no specific schedule.
collaboration is provided.	are not frequent enough. to	is insufficient to support	
Teachers meet frequently	support implementation. A	implementation. Meetings are	
(about once a week) and for at	schedule is established and	less than 30 minutes. No data	
least 30 minutes per meeting.	announced to all staff. The PD	are collected during the meeting	
A schedule is established and	Leadership team collects	to inform the Professional	
announced to all staff. Minutes	documentation of how	Development Leadership Team	
(data on how meeting time is	collaborative time is used.	of additional support needed.	
used) are submitted to the PD			
Leadership Team.			

Provide evidence that design has required elements and adequate time: (PD plans, calendar, etc):

List trainers who will deliver theory, provide demonstrations, etc:

Component: Ongoing Cycle

Professional development is a continuous process rather than a one-time event. To be able to transfer new learning into the classroom, teachers need multiple opportunities to see demonstrations, plan together, work out problems, rehearse new lessons, develop materials, engage in peer coaching, and observe each other. The collaborative routines needed for supporting these actions must be planned for, supported and monitored. What staff developers learn from the study of implementation will inform decisions about future training, the need for support, and adjustments in the learning opportunities. If new content is to be learned and implemented in classrooms so that students benefit, teachers need ongoing training, the colleagueship of peers as they plan and develop lessons and materials and study their implementation, and interim measures to judge the success of their efforts.

Dimension 15: All teachers responsible for instruction are included in training and learning opportunities and collaboration.			
All teachers responsible for instruction are included in training and learning opportunities and collaboration. There is an expectation that all teachers are engaged in professional learning.	A subset of faculty is included in training and learning and collaboration. There is a lack of shared responsibility across the staff to increase student achievement.	A few teachers participate in training with the intent of "training-the-trainer" without provisions for supporting the scaling up.	Professional development attendance and implementation in the classroom is optional, teachers engage on voluntary basis.

Dimension 16: Training and learning opportunities are distributed through the year.			
Training and learning opportunities are distributed through the year. PD time is provided every week, using combinations of scheduling options. (Example: Early release, late start, faculty meetings, common planning time, before or after school, etc.)	Training and learning is distributed – every other week.	Training and learning opportunities are monthly or less.	In-service days are used for workdays and other non-PD uses.

Dimension 17: Training/Learning opportunities are adjusted and refined based on data.			
4	3	2	1
Training and learning opportunities use a variety of formats for engaging teachers including: presentations, reading literature, small group discussion, watching live demonstrations, and viewing video tapes of demonstrations. Training/Learning opportunities are adjusted and refined based on the findings from the analysis of student data and teacher implementation data.	Training and learning opportunities are varied and include all design elements, but are not routinely adjusted and refined to address needs identified from student and teacher data.	PD is a study group format where participants discuss materials about instructional strategies. Participants discuss practices rather than see demonstrations, plan lessons together, and use implementation data to design next steps.	PD is exclusively a lecture and recitation format.

	Dimension 18: Collaborative team meetings are structured.			
Collaborative team meetings use structures (agenda and minutes). Teachers are provided with time to plan lessons, discuss data, solve problems, and work with materials to support the strategy being studied. 3 Collaborative team meetings are include group dialogue to address issues of implementation. Lessons are planned in isolation. 2 Collaborative team meetings are informal. Teachers meet and discuss successes. Collaborative team meetings are informal. Teachers meet and discuss successes.	structures (agenda and minutes). Teachers are provided with time to plan lessons, discuss data, solve problems, and work with materials to support the strategy	include group dialogue to address issues of implementation. Lessons are	informal. Teachers meet and	

Dimension 19: An implement	Dimension 19: An implementation plan describes what the teachers will be studying and putting in place in the classroom.				
4	3	2	1		
An implementation plan	An implementation plan is in	A plan for implementation is	Teachers are unclear about what is		
describes what the teachers will	place. How often strategy is to	discussed informally, but no	needed to get the strategy fully in place.		
be studying and putting in place	be used is identified, but no	written implementation plan has			
in the classroom. Teachers	information is available on	been developed.			
know how often they are to	fidelity and expectations.				
implement the strategy					
(frequency); how they are to					
implement the strategy in their					
classroom (fidelity); and if there					
are different expectations for					
some role groups. Differing					
expectations are spelled out and					
made clear to all participants					
(Example: PE teachers using					
text less frequently will					
implement once every other					
week rather than daily.)					

Dimension 20: Formative assessments are in place.			
4	3	2	1
A plan is in place that describes how students will be assessed to see if they are responding to instruction that is the focus of the PD initiative (formative). The assessment aligns well with the content being presented.	Student progress is assessed but the assessments don't align with the content being presented.	Plan suggests formative assessment procedures will be done.	Formative assessment of the PD initiative is not addressed by plan.

Dimension 21: Formative assessments are scheduled.			
4	3	2	1
Formative data are collected	Assessments are conducted too	Formative assessments have	Formative assessment of the PD
frequently enough to shape	often. (Changes are not likely	been identified, but collection is	initiative is not addressed by plan.
decisions about future PD. The	to be measurable because	not on a specific schedule.	
assessments are conducted	students have not had enough	_	
often enough to be sensitive to	time to learn the skill being		
changes in student learning.	measured.)		
	Assessments are scheduled too		
	far apart to yield data in a way		
	that can be used to change the		
	PD or to adjust instruction.		

Dimension 22: Formative data are used to plan training and supports for students.				
4	3	2	1	
PD Leadership Team analyzes	Data are collected and	Data are used for	Limited use of formative data.	
formative data and facilitates	interpreted by PD Leadership	accountability, but not for		
dialogue about the findings	Team. Data inform some	planning and adjusting PD and		
with staff. Data are used to plan	decisions, but not routinely	instruction.		
future training and identify	used to shape PD or change			
additional on-going supports or	instructional practices.			
adjustments in instruction for	_			
students.				

Comments/Evidence:		

Component: Summative Evaluation

The effectiveness of professional development is judged by student learning outcomes. Determination of the efficacy of a professional development program is based on two factors: whether or not the content was implemented as planned and whether or not students acquired the desired knowledge/skills/behaviors. This judgment is based on both formative and summative evaluation data. The quality of the evaluation is contingent upon having clearly stated goals that target an improvement in student performance. A professional development program is successful when it achieves its student learning goals.

Dimension 23: Summative data are used to plan the next cycle of professional development.				
4	3	2	1	
Summative evaluation includes analysis ITBS/ITEDs plus other assessments including end of the year review of formative data. Teacher implementation data are considered when interpreting student results. Summative data are used to plan the next cycle of professional development (continue as is, modify, change target, etc.).		Decisions on future PD include general findings regarding student results.	No summative plan. Decisions on future cycle of PD are based on opinions about efficacy of PD.	

Dimension 24: Faculty and stakeholders are informed about the outcomes of the evaluation of professional development.				
4	3	2	1	
Faculty and stakeholders are	Faculty is informed about the	Evaluation findings are	Analysis is not in a format that can be	
informed about the outcomes of	outcomes and next steps.	developed into a report, but are	reported to faculty or stakeholders. No	
the evaluation of professional	Stakeholders receive little or no	not communicated to others.	information is shared.	
development and informed of	information about the outcomes			
decisions for next steps.	of professional development.			

Comments/Evidence:	• •		

This technical assistance document was developed by the Iowa Department of Education with input from the Iowa Staff Development Council, a state affiliate of the National Staff Development Council. For additional information please contact Marietta Rives (Marietta.rives@iowa.gov).

District/Building Profile Iowa Professional Development Model Individual Career Development Plans

The Individual Teacher Career Development Plan (ITCDP) is intended to support the professional growth of individual teachers as part of the district's focus on increasing achievement for all students. ITCDP is based on the needs of the teacher, the Iowa Teaching Standards and Criteria, and the student achievement goals of the building and district as per the CSIP. The goals and learning opportunities established in the individual plan should be a direct fit with the district and building plans for professional development. The individual plans may be developed for a team of teachers. The format for the individual plan is locally determined.

Dimension 25: Individual Career Development Plans are in place.					
4	3	2	1		
Each career teacher has an	Each career teacher has an	Procedures for individual career	Individual plans do not meet basic		
individual plan that:	individual career plan, and	plans have been announced, but	requirements. There is no effort to		
 was developed by teacher 	those who are learning in teams	teachers and/or administrators	align the various plans and procedures.		
and administrator	have plans formatted as a team	are not clear about what is			
• is based on the Iowa	plan. Teachers and	expected and how the processes			
Teaching Standards	administrators know the	work and interact.			
• is based on district data and	procedures for district plans,				
goals	individual plans, and				
 is reviewed annually 	performance reviews, but do				
Teachers that learn together in	not recognize how these				
teams use team plans, as	processes interact.				
appropriate. Procedures for					
individual plans are clearly					
articulated, all teachers and					
administrators understand the					
process and recognize the					
connections among the					
evaluation process, the					
individual PD plans, and the					
District/Bldg PD plans.					

Dimension 26: Individual plans support district priorities for professional development.					
All teachers are contributing to the district/building PD priority by engaging in professional growth targeted to meet student needs. Individual plans reflect engagement in district/building efforts to meet student and teacher learning needs. An additional goal is in place, if teacher and administrator identify other needs for professional development. (Example: Art, Music, PE teachers work on collective goal in reading and also learn new methods specific to their assignment.)	Teachers are working in teams to implement shared individual teacher development plans, but have little sense of how their learning is connected to other teams or to the district/building plans for professional development and student learning.	All teachers have an individual plan which they view as "tasks" to complete in addition to their regular teaching responsibilities. No reference is made in the plan to building/distinct expectations for professional learning.	Teachers have individual plans that provide no contribution to the district professional development priorities. The individual plans reinforce a menu of professional development topics, rather than systemic collective professional development targeting student achievement.		

Comments/Evidence:

This technical assistance document was developed by the Iowa Department of Education with input from the Iowa Staff Development Council, a state affiliate of the National Staff Development Council. For additional information please contact Marietta Rives (<u>Marietta.rives@iowa.gov</u>).

For additional copies of this document see http://www.state.ia.us/educate/ecese/tqt/tc/prodev.html